1	EDMUND G. BROWN JR.		
2	Attorney General of California LINDA K. SCHNEIDER		
3	Supervising Deputy Attorney General RITA M. LANE		
4	Deputy Attorney General State Bar No. 171352		
5	110 West "A" Street, Suite 1100 San Diego, CA 92101 P.O. Box 85266		
6	San Diego, CA 92186-5266		
7	Telephone: (619) 645-2614 Facsimile: (619) 645-2061 Attorneys for Complainant		
8	•	DE THE	
9	BEFORE THE BOARD FOR PROFESSIONAL ENGINEERS AND LAND SURVEYORS DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER A FEATING		
10	DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS STATE OF CALIFORNIA		
11	In the Metter of the Acquestion Assista	C N - 000 A	
12	In the Matter of the Accusation Against:  NASRALLAH NICHOLAS ABOU-FADEL	Case No. 880-A	
13	2900 Park Newport No. 423 Newport Beach, CA 92660	ACCUSATION	
14	Civil Engineer License No. C 50588	ACCUSATION	
15	to the same same same same same same same sam		
16	Respondent.		
17			
18	Complainant alleges:		
19	PARTIES		
20	1. David E. Brown (Complainant) brings this Accusation solely in his official capacity		
21	as the Executive Officer of the Board for Professional Engineers and Land Surveyors,		
22	Department of Consumer Affairs.		
23	2. On or about March 12, 1993, the Board for Professional Engineers and Land		
24	Surveyors issued Civil Engineer License Number C 50588 to Nasrallah Nicholas Abou-Fadel		
25	(Respondent). The Civil Engineer License will expire on September 30, 2011, unless renewed.		
26	///		
27	///		
28	///		
		I	

### JURISDICTION

- 3. This Accusation is brought before the Board for Professional Engineers and Land Surveyors (Board), Department of Consumer Affairs, under the authority of the following laws. All section references are to the Business and Professions Code (Code) unless otherwise indicated.
- 4. Code section 118, subdivision (b), provides that the suspension, expiration, surrender or cancellation of a license shall not deprive the Board of jurisdiction to proceed with a disciplinary action during the period within which the license may be renewed, restored, reissued or reinstated.
  - 5. Code section 6775 states, in pertinent part, that

The board may reprove, suspend for a period not to exceed two years, or revoke the certificate of any professional engineer registered under this chapter:

. . . .

(c) Who has been found guilty by the board of negligence or incompetence in his or her practice.

### COSTS

6. Section 125.3 of the Code provides, in pertinent part, that the Board may request the administrative law judge to direct a licentiate found to have committed a violation or violations of the licensing act to pay a sum not to exceed the reasonable costs of the investigation and enforcement of the case.

# CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

# (Negligence)

- 7. Respondent is subject to disciplinary action under Code section 6775(c) in that Respondent was negligent in the engineering work he performed on the Hideaway Development in La Quinta, California. The circumstances are as follows:
- 8. The Hideaway Development is a residential project consisting of four plan types of wood frame construction with tile roofs and a stucco finish exterior. The lateral systems consist of primarily plywood shear walls or plywood pre-fab shear panels. Two of the residential plan

types in the Hideaway Development were designed with cantilever columns along a single grid line, to laterally support the roof over the rear deck areas. The design of the cantilevered columns were deficient and constitute negligence in the following areas:

- a. In the calculations for plan 1, the yielding stress of the pipe column was listed as 50 ksi, whereas from page 1-92 of the Ninth Edition of the Manual of Steel Construction Allowable Stress Design, the minimum yield stress is listed as 35 ksi.
- b. An incorrect unbraced height was listed as 0.000 feet, when the unbraced height of the column is 11 feet.
- Sidesway is listed as restrained, where the sidesway should be unrestrained for all cantilever columns.
- d. There is no analysis for a footing to support the cantilevered columns in the residential plan type 1.
- e. In the residential plan types 1 and 4, the incorrect R value is used for the lateral system per Table 16-N of the 1997 Uniform Building Code. A maximum value of 2.2 should be used for cantilever columns, but Respondent indicated a value of 4.5.
- f. In the residential plan types 1 and 4, the specified column does not meet the story drift limitations as prescribed in 1997 Uniform Building Code section 1630.10.
- 9. On sheet F1 of the calculations, a bearing calculation was done to check the size of the continuous footings, however, the continuous footings were not checked for the moment capacity required to resist the overturning moments at the shear walls. A maximum allowable point load is calculated by bearing only, which is less than the uplift forces of the shear walls on line 6 and line 9 on sheets L15 and L17. This method does not account for the moments induced in the footings due to the combination of tension and compression forces at opposite ends of the shear walls and constitutes negligence.

### **PRAYER**

WHEREFORE, Complainant requests that a hearing be held on the matters herein alleged, and that following the hearing, the Board for Professional Engineers and Land Surveyors issue a decision:

	II .	
1	Revoking or suspending Civil Engineer License Number C 50588, issued to Nasralla	
2	Nicholas Abou-Fadel;	
3	<ol> <li>Ordering Nasrallah Nicholas Abou-Fadel to pay the Board for Professional Engineers</li> </ol>	
4	and Land Surveyors the reasonable costs of the investigation and enforcement of this case,	
5	pursuant to Business and Professions Code section 125.3; and	
6	<ol> <li>Taking such other and further action as deemed necessary and proper.</li> </ol>	
7		
8	DATED: 2/17/10	Original Signed
9		DAVID E. BROWN Executive Officer
10		Board for Professional Engineers and Land Surveyors Department of Consumer Affairs
11		State of California Complainant
12	SD2009804760 80424727 doc	
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		
- 1		4